


# STBBI Meeting Evaluations

Sean B. Rourke, Ph.D., FCAHS  
Scientist, Centre for Urban Health Solutions  
Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital  
Professor of Psychiatry, University of Toronto  
Director, CIHR Centre for REACH in HIV/AIDS and CIHR CBR Collaborative  
Centre



# Sample Breakdown (n=52)

# Study Sample (n=52)




- Medical Officer of Health (n=4)
- Healthcare Practitioner (n=11)
- Community Service Providers/CBOs (n=13)
- Industry (n=4)
- Public Health Staff (n=6)
- Researcher/Academia (n=11)
- Laboratory Staff (n=2)
- Government (n=1)


STBBI Meeting Evaluation – Results

# STBBI Evaluation – Ratings


# I learned something valuable about innovative STBBI testing & linkage to contribute to my STBBI prevention and response work (n=52)




# I had a chance to contribute ideas to focus area discussions to support public health programs in STBBI prevention and response (n=52)



# I found that over the meeting we reached some common understanding of the current policies related to the STBBI testing landscape and pending developments (n=52)



**Over the course of the meeting, there was meaningful progress towards creating a mechanism to support ongoing communication about best practices and lessons learned with the implementation and scale-up of projects and programs across the country (n=51)**





# STBBI Evaluation – Open Ended Responses

# Common Themes – Most Valuable Aspect of the Meeting/What Worked Well?

---

## Diverse Stakeholders

- Opportunities to Network
- Opportunities to Learn/Share

## Presentations/Breakout Sessions/Panels

- Quality of Content

## Areas to Improve

# Diverse Stakeholders – Opportunities to Network

---

“Having a wide variety of stakeholders working together in small groups worked very well. These are complex discussions that we each bring specific expertise in - we each help fill in the picture with key information that we don't all have, ask good questions, discuss and argue points”

“Sharing of innovative models of testing and linkage to care. Good mix of representation from lab, CBOs, governments, academic, manufacturers led to valuable discussions and networking opportunities”

## Diverse Stakeholders – Opportunities to Learn/Share

---

“Hearing about new technologies and work that is going to across the country. Discussions about peers, community groups. Lab as the command centre. Engaging the correct leaders both socially and politically”

“Learning about research to support novel test technologies/practices. Meeting others working in my jurisdiction and other jurisdictions”

“Learning about the initiatives being done in other provinces. Sharing ideas, models”

# Presentations/Breakout Sessions/Panels – Quality of Content

---

“The breakout sessions were helpful for exchanging ideas and learning from key players in this area”

“The small group discussions addressing successes, challenges, and identifying strategies”

“The amount of evidence presented on the reach and effectiveness of innovative technologies and approach for diverse priority populations with appropriate amount of time for discussions”